A CASE

OF

ARTIFICIAL DILATATION

OF

THE FEMALE URETHRA, &c. &c.

By H. L. THOMAS, Esq. F. R. S.

Read May 13, 1807.

SUNDAY, April 26th, I was called into the country to see a lady, thirty-four years of age, and the mother of several children. On the morning of that day she had, without any assignable cause, laboured under a suppression of urine; as there was no regular assistance at hand, the husband (whose readings on this subject had been pretty extensive,) took upon himself to afford her relief. With this view he introduced an ivory ear-picker into the meatus urinarius, and the water immediately flowed, but as the quantity evacuated did not quite equal his expectations, the instrument was introduced a second time, when it escaped from his fingers, and suddenly slipt, as he expressed it, with a "jerk into the body."

When I saw her, six hours after, she was free from pain, and with no other unpleasant symptom than those which might be expected from the agitated state of her mind. Upon introducing the sound the extraneous substance was readily detected, and was as easily laid hold of by a pair of fine polypus forceps, but I found every attempt to extract it was attended with considerable pain, and followed by a slight discharge of blood, appearances which gave me reason to imagine that the instrument lay across the bladder, with its pointed extremities entangled between the fasciculi of muscular fibres, and that much mischief might be produced by any farther attempts at extraction. I therefore merely ordered an enema to be administered, and directed her to drink plentifully of diluting mucilaginous liquids; I also gave some directions respecting the position of the body at the time of evacuating the urine, which were to be such as to render the orifice of the bladder the most depending part.

On the Monday at two o'clock, I found that she had passed a restless night, and was muchindisposed; pulse frequent, face flushed, and the tongue furred; a slight degree of tenderness was beginning to pervade the whole of the hypogastric region. This situation of the patient clearly pointed out the propriety of a speedy removal of the cause of irritation; and as I came provided with the bistoire cachée, I proposed opening into the bladder immediately; this proposition was, however, absolutely rejected by the husband, from

the knowledge he had of a case of lithotomy in a female, who ever afterwards suffered from an incontinency of urine.

With some hesitation, and I must confess with little prospect of success, I introduced a piece of sponge tent into the urethra, three inches long, and somewhat thicker than the full sized female sound, leaving directions for its removal at the end of two hours, or even sooner, if attended with much pain or distress. I took care to prevent the possibility of the sponge separating, and any part escaping into the bladder, by passing a strong string through its whole length secured at both extremities by a double knot.

In the evening I was informed that she had borne the tent for two hours, without any great degree of irritation taking place, and that during the whole time the urine gradually escaped. I sent another piece of the tent somewhat larger than the first, desiring it to be introduced at twelve o'clock the following morning, and allowed to remain in the urethra until I came to her at two. On my arrival I found her tolerably well, and upon withdrawing the tent I passed the fore finger of my left hand into the bladder, where I felt the ear-picker lying across the cervix. At first I conceived it impossible, without laceration, to disengage it from the position in which it appeared so firmly fixed, but by turning my hand, and insinuating the point of the fere-finger

underneath, and towards the blunt extremity, it was readily dislodged, and escaped through the urethra, by the side of my finger. It measured three inches in length, one end being considerably more pointed than the other. I kept my finger engaged in the bladder for (I should guess) five minutes after the expulsion of the ear-picker, solely with a view of attending to the contractile force of that viscus. The sensations I experienced did not in any way accord with the ideas I had formed, for instead of my finger being firmly compressed, as I expected, by the sphincter muscle and bladder generally, I found every where nothing else than a soft pulpy yielding substance, totally insensible to any stimulus I could produce by the finger nail; this inability to contract, may perhaps be accounted for by the partial distension of the bladder for so long a time by the ear-picker. The same agent, however, cannot be assigned as the cause of the general relaxation which had taken place in the urethra, and which was so very complete, that before I withdrew my finger, I believe, had the case required it, both thumb and finger would have passed into the bladder without the smallest difficulty.

On the following day the patient was so well as to preclude even an excuse for any further examination of the parts; but I was given to understand that the involuntary discharge of urine continued only six hours, and that now she possessed the full powers of expelling it without any other inconve-

niency than a slight degree of scalding in its passage along the urethra.

My view in laying this case before the Society is to shew how readily, and with what little pain the female urethra will admit of dilatation. There is no novelty in the mode of accomplishing the object of distention. Near a century back it was proposed by Douglas; he not only recommended sponge for this purpose, but also dried gentian root, as being more gradual in its expansion, and better fitted for the purpose.

Mr. Broomfield has given the case of a young girl where he effected the dilatation by introducing the coccum of a small animal, in a collapsed state, into the bladder, filling it afterwards with warm water, by means of a syringe. This was gradually withdrawn as the cervix vesicæ opened, and in a few hours the dilatation was so far accomplished as to allow the calculus to pass through.

Why some of these methods have not been more generally adopted I cannot say, perhaps the incontinency of urine which occasionally has been observed to succeed to great distention of the urethra is the reason of their having been laid aside; with what propriety, however, may be questioned, for I believe it will be found that this unpleasant symptom as frequently occurs after the operation of lithotomy, as it is now usually performed.

We have many well authenticated cases on record where calculi, of a size larger than a hen's egg, have been expelled from the bladder by the expulsive efforts of its own muscles. Heister has related from good authority several instances of the kind, a very remarkable case is also given by Dr. Molineux, in the early part of the Philosophical Transactions, where a stone was voided by a woman, "the circumference of which measured the longest way $7\frac{e}{10}$ inches, and round about where it was thickest $5\frac{3}{4}$ inches, its weight near $2\frac{1}{2}$ ounces troy."

If these relations can be credited, and there is no reason why they should not, I can hardly conceive any case in a young and healthy female subject, and where the bladder is free from disease, why a very large stone may not be extracted without the use of any other instrument than the forceps, the urethra having first been sufficiently dilated by means of the sponge tents; for this purpose the blades of the forceps need not be so thick and strong as those commonly employed.

It may be often observed, that muscular fibres, when once stretched to a certain extent, (i. e.) beyond their capability of immediately re-acting, will admit of very considerable elongation without tearing, and yet shall, when the cause is removed perfectly and very speedily recover their original powers. When the luxation of the femur takes place downwards, we observe the great gluteus muscle up-

on the stretch, almost to breaking, before the reduction can be accomplished the fibres will be still farther elongated, yet after this violence of extension, laceration of the fibres hardly ever happens, and when the muscles are again restored to their former situation, their functions become as perfect in a few days as if no injury had been sustained. That sphincter muscles are possessed of similar powers, the above case will, I think, in great measure prove, in addition to which I beg leave to subjoin the following.

A gentleman of an inactive and sedentary disposition had for many years suffered from constipated bowels, which increased to that degree that the most active cathartics failed in producing the desired effect. By the advice of a practitioner, whom he consulted in Paris, he daily introduced into the rectum a piece of flexible cane (about a finger's thickness), where it was allowed to remain until the desire for evacuating the fæces came on. This plan succeeded so well, that for more than a twelvemonth he never had occasion to resort to any other means. One morning, being anxious to fulfil a particular engagement in good time, in his hurry he passed the stick farther up, and with less caution than usual, when it was suddenly sucked up into the body, beyond the reach of his fingers. This accident, however, did not interrupt the free discharge of the fæces, and the same evacuation regularly took place every day, whilst the stick remained in the gut. It was seven days afterwards when I first saw him; he was in a very distressed state, with every symptom of fever, tension of the abdomen, and a countenance expressive of the greatest anxiety. His relatives and friends were totally ignorant of the real nature of his case; and nothing less than the urgency of his sufferings, could ever have prevailed upon him to disclose it to me. Such were his feelings on the occasion, that a violent hysteric fit was brought on by the mere recital of what he termed his folly.

Upon examination with my finger, per anum, no part of the cane could be discovered; but one end of it was readily felt projecting (as it were) through the parietes of the abdomen, midway between the ilium and the umbilicus on the right side. The slightest pressure upon this part gave him exquisite pain.

After repeated trials I was at length enabled, with a bougie to feel one extremity of the stick lodged high up in the rectum; but without being able to lay hold of it with the stone forceps. To allay the irritation for the present, an emollient clyster, with tinct. opii. 3 ij, was given, which passed without the least impediment, and did not return. On the next examination, two hours after, I found the sphincter ani considerably dilated, and by a continued perseverance to increase it, the relaxation became so complete, that in about twenty minutes I was en-

enabled to introduce one finger after the other, until the whole hand was engaged in the rectum.

I found the end of the stick jammed in the hollow of the sacrum, but by bending the body forward it was readily disengaged, and extracted. Its length was nine inches and a half, with one extremity very ragged and uneven.

For several days after, the situation of the patient was highly critical, the local injury, joined to the perturbation of his mind, brought on symptoms truly alarming. At length I had the satisfaction to witness his complete recovery; and he has ever since (more than two years ago) enjoyed good health, and the regular action of the bowels, without the assistance of medicines, or any other aid.